

'High-earning men face a state pension shortfall'

Top 10 per cent male earners pay more in national insurance than they get in retirement benefit, study reveals

yesterday

High earning middle-aged men will pay more in national insurance over their working lives than they get back in state pension income, analysis has shown.

Employees and the self-employed earning or making profits over a certain threshold are required to pay national insurance contributions, or a tax on earnings, which is used to help build entitlement to certain benefits such as the state pension or maternity allowance.

Research published this week by the Pensions Policy Institute, an independent research group, compared the contributions of those aged 20, 40 and 60 with how much they might receive in retirement.

It found 40-year-old male employees who rank in the top 10 per cent of earners will pay about £250,000 in national insurance contributions during their lifetime, but receive only £248,000 in state pension income back if they live to age 90.

In contrast, a man of the same age but on average earnings would pay in £138,000 in NICs over his lifetime and receive £213,000 in state pension if he lived to age 87, an assumed life expectancy. A man of the same age among the lowest 10 per cent earners would pay in £56,000 in NICs but receive £179,000 in state pension until the age of 84.

In September, the Truss government [reversed](#) a 1.25 percentage point rise in national insurance intended to help fund health and social care. The current crisis in the health service is likely to renew discussion over how improvements should be funded.

“While the analysis by the Pensions Policy Institute demonstrates that the vast majority of people across the income and age spectrums will typically receive back more than they have contributed, this is not going to be the case for middle-aged high earners, who are also shouldering a relatively high proportion of the total income tax burden,” said Jason Hollands, managing director of Evelyn Partners, the wealth manager.

“Whether or not it is by deliberate design, the system therefore has a creeping redistributive element to it that is likely to become more pronounced if the state pension age rises further, as seems inevitable given the costs of the system and rising life expectancy.”

The analysis underlined the case for high earners to contribute to private or workplace pensions, Hollands said. This included potentially putting in place salary sacrifice arrangements, especially where an individual’s earnings had a large variable component, such as a bonus payment.

“Alongside reducing an income tax liability through relief at your marginal rate, pension contributions also reduce the national insurance exposure for both the individual and their employer,” said Hollands.

The government is expected soon to publish the results of an independent review of the state pension age. This is currently 66 for men and women but due to rise gradually to 67 between 2026 and 2028.